

The U.S. commissioned Gallup Polls on Haiti, both before and after the 2000 elections. The polls before predicted the Fanmi Lavalas landslide with fair accuracy, and were consequently never made public. Subsequent polls asking voters whether the candidates they voted for won confirmed the official election votes, and were also kept secret. For example, participants in the March 2002 survey were asked whether the candidate they voted for in the last legislative election got elected (table 37). 38% did not respond for one reason or another. Of those who responded, 68% said yes, 32% said no, which is pretty close to the official results. When asked whether they would vote the same way again, most did not respond, but 56% of those who responded said yes, which is pretty high marks considering the embargo and the country's financial situation.

For the Presidential elections, only 24% of those surveyed said they did not vote, which is consistent with the official election figures (and a massive participation, by US standards). Of those who replied yes or no, 74% said they voted for President Aristide.

The March 2002 poll asked the question "What political party do you sympathize with or belong to" (table 39). 41% of the respondents did not give an answer, probably wary of foreign-sponsored polls. 37% of those asked identified Fanmi Lavalas, which is a significant number, but this is 61.6% of those who responded. The next most popular party, the U.S. supported Convergence Democratique, was named by 8% of those asked (13% of those who responded).

Although FL's numbers were strongest among the poor, rural residents and women, they had a strong lead in each one of the 19 subcategories (the polls was broken down by region, gender, age, education and economic situation). FL had similarly high affiliation numbers in polls commissioned in 2000 and 2001 (p.27).

The polls also asked "who would you say is the national leader or individual in Haiti that you most trust" (table 34). In the 3/02 poll President Aristide was listed by 33% of all of those asked, but as 46% did not respond, President Aristide was listed by 61% of all respondents. His next closest competition was Convergence leader Gerard Gourgue, with 2% of those asked (3.7% of respondents). The same question was asked five months and one year after the May 2000 elections, and Aristide had even higher numbers, 50% and 60% of all asked, respectively (page 37). I don't know how many declined to respond in the earlier surveys.


*CID Gallup*

---

*Haiti Public Opinion Poll*

March 2002

Final Report



# INTRODUCTION

CID/Gallup was commissioned by U.S.A.I.D. to conduct two Public Opinion Polls during the calendar year 2002. The results presented in this document pertain to the first of these polls, having been carried out between March 1<sup>st</sup> and March 8<sup>th</sup>, 2002.

A total of 1,204 interviews were conducted among Haitian adults 18 years of age or older in a demographically representative sample of the entire adult population. The geographic scope of the study is the entire country, with few exceptions. Interviews took place in the home of the respondent, not more than one interview per household.

The principal objective of these polls is to obtain a representative sample of opinions that Haitians hold on a variety of issues, including politics, standard of living, security and justice and civic knowledge and participation. Specifically, the results include among others a rating of the performance of the present presidential administration, opinions regarding the current political impasse, experience with emigration and an evaluation of the performance of the police .

CID/Gallup wishes to express its gratitude to U.S.A.I.D. Haiti for the confidence it has demonstrated in contracting its services, as well as to all the Haitian people who collaborated with their participation and time in this project. CID/Gallup welcomes any opportunity to expand on the information contained in this document.

# POLITICAL DATA: POLITICAL PARTY AFFILIATION


Question: "What political party do you sympathize with or belong to?"

Political Party Preference by educational level of the respondent  
-Percentages-

Political Party prefers	TOTAL	Educational Level		
		University -n=84-	Secondary -n=625-	None/Primary -n=495-
Fanmi Lavalas	37	25	37	38
Konvergans	8	20	9	5
OPL	5	3	4	6
Espace de concertation	2	1	2	2
KID	2	2	2	2
RDNP	1	2	1	1
Konakom	1	3	1	2
Mocrena	1	-	1	1
Others	2	5	2	2
Does not know/No resp.	41	39	41	41

Source: Appendix, statistical table 39.

Trend in Political Party Sympathies  
Nov. 1999 - March 2002  
-Percentages-



Source: Appendix, statistical table 39 and previous studies

- Approximately one out of three Haitian adults associates him- or herself with the Fanmi Lavalas (FL) political party. Sympathies for the FL party are strongest outside of Port-of-Prince, among women, and among those with less than a university level education.
- No other political party comes close to the support enjoyed by Fanmi Lavalas. Konvergans places a distant second, mentioned by eight percent of the populace as their political party. Its support is concentrated among young and well educated respondents.
- Notably, the support for Fanmi Lavalas appears to be waning, maybe as a direct impact of the increasingly widespread discontent with the ruling government and the state of the economy.

# POLITICAL DATA: TRENDS IN OPINION OF PUBLIC FIGURES

Jean Bertrand Aristide remains the country's most popular political figure, even though the percentage of citizens who have a "favorable" opinion of him has dropped significantly in the past year. Aristide's popularity returns to a pre-electoral level, last observed in November of 1999.

Two other public figures suffer important declines in the number of "favorable" opinions that they receive: Gerard Gourgue and Jean-Marie Cherestal. The former, Gourgue, who has played a public role in opposition of the Aristide government, receives in March of 2002 one-third the number of "favorable" opinions as he did just ten months ago.

Of all the public figures who have been evaluated in both of the last two measurements, Myrlande Manigat shows the greatest increase in the number of "favorable opinions" that she receives -- six percentage points.

**Trends in favorable opinions for Public Figures  
-Percentages-**

Name	Measurement			
	Nov-99	Oct-00	May-01	Mar-02
Jean Bertrand Aristide	51	68	75	50
Dany Toussaint	29	50	53	49
Yvon Neptune	--	47	56	46
Myrlande Manigat	--	--	38	44
Michael Soukar	--	--	--	40
Marc Bazin	14	--	--	35
Jean-Marie Cherestal	--	--	54	30
Victor Benoit	--	--	--	26
Chevannes Jean-Baptiste	--	--	22	25
Evans Paul	19	--	21	25
Gary Lissad	--	--	21	23
Gerard Pierre Charles	12	22	21	21
René Civile	--	15	20	20
Serge Gilles	--	--	--	19
Gerard Gourgue	--	--	64	19
Gustave Faubert	--	--	--	14

Source: Appendix, statistical tables 11, 12, 26, 36 & previous studies.

# POLITICAL DATA: REASON FOR OPINION OF ARISTIDE

*Question: "Why do you have this opinion of Jean Bertrand Aristide?"*

- Notwithstanding his poor job rating, the current president of Haiti, Jean Bertrand Aristide, receives favorable opinions from half of the population. The principal reasons they give are that he is "close to the people" and that he has "willpower."
- Two out of five respondents view Aristide unfavorably, mostly because they consider his government "corrupt," that he is "untrustworthy," that he "doesn't do anything" or that he "does not keep his promises."

**Reason for Opinion of Aristide  
-Percentages-**

Reason	%
<b>Positive Opinion</b>	100
Is close to the people	19
Has willpower	11
Trustworthy	3
Defends the people's interests	3
Opposition prevents success	2
Works for peace	2
Other positive	3
<b>Negative Opinion</b>	
Government is corrupt/Not trustworthy	8
Doesn't do anything	7
Does not keep promises	7
Is very bad	4
Responsible for everything	3
Other negative	7
Doesn't know/No response	21

Source: Appendix, statistical table 13.

TABLE 36

OP HAITI, MARCH 2002

	TOTAL		REGION		GENDER		AGE				EDUCATION			ECON SITUATION			LABOR PRESIDENT		
	PORT	OT/C	REST	MALE	FEMA	-24	25-39	40Y+	PRIM	SEC	UNIV	WORSE	SAME	BETT	NR	BAD	REG	GOOD	
Q59A:MYRLANDE MANIGAT																			
DK/NR	7.3	6.9	6.1	7.5	7.9	6.7	8.3	5.2	8.6	8.7	6.4	4.5	7.2	6.2	11.6	9.7	7.2	5.9	9.2
Favorable	43.5	53.6	40.1	41.3	44.0	43.0	41.6	46.0	42.3	37.1	47.1	61.4	43.0	42.2	51.2	30.8	45.4	42.9	44.9
Unfavorable	27.6	26.5	30.9	27.5	27.2	28.0	27.4	29.2	26.1	28.4	27.9	17.7	26.2	29.6	28.0	36.6	23.0	31.1	28.9
No opinion	21.6	13.0	22.9	23.8	20.9	22.3	22.6	19.6	22.9	25.8	18.6	16.4	23.6	22.1	9.2	22.9	24.5	20.0	17.0
Q59B:GERARD PIERRE																			
CHARLES	8.0	6.9	6.1	8.5	8.1	8.0	11.0	6.6	7.4	8.9	7.5	6.0	10.3	5.3	5.8	17.1	8.7	6.5	5.8
DK/NR	21.4	17.7	30.1	21.5	22.3	20.6	20.3	22.4	21.2	21.0	21.0	29.4	24.8	18.5	14.3	10.6	27.4	20.1	12.7
Favorable	45.9	53.0	44.2	44.2	46.1	45.6	46.3	46.9	44.5	41.5	49.6	46.1	40.8	50.9	54.6	44.9	42.4	47.8	51.2
Unfavorable	24.7	22.4	19.6	25.8	23.5	25.8	22.4	24.0	26.8	28.6	21.9	18.5	24.1	25.3	25.3	27.3	21.4	25.6	30.3
No opinion																			
Q59C:JEAN PIERRE																			
CHERESTAL	4.8	6.6	4.7	4.4	4.6	5.0	3.1	4.4	6.4	7.2	3.1	1.4	4.4	4.7	7.2	3.3	5.7	3.1	6.7
DK/NR	30.1	19.9	32.6	32.5	28.5	31.6	31.1	32.0	27.7	31.2	28.9	32.3	25.9	32.8	42.9	15.3	23.8	33.8	45.4
Favorable	46.9	56.1	44.2	44.8	49.0	45.0	49.0	44.2	48.1	45.0	48.8	44.1	51.7	45.5	26.3	61.0	50.7	48.3	28.1
Unfavorable	18.2	17.4	18.5	18.3	18.0	18.3	16.9	19.4	17.8	16.6	19.1	22.2	18.0	16.9	23.6	20.3	19.8	14.8	19.8
No opinion																			
Q59D:VICTOR BENOIT																			
DK/NR	11.8	11.3	8.0	12.3	10.8	12.7	11.3	10.0	13.8	13.5	10.5	9.1	12.4	11.8	8.2	19.5	10.7	11.4	12.1
Favorable	26.4	26.2	27.9	26.3	27.8	25.1	27.2	28.7	23.6	27.3	25.3	29.2	34.6	17.1	16.3	22.9	32.2	24.7	16.0
Unfavorable	32.8	32.0	41.4	32.1	32.1	33.4	29.7	33.9	33.8	34.6	31.5	31.1	25.4	37.1	57.1	25.7	30.2	30.5	47.5
No opinion	29.0	30.4	22.7	29.4	29.3	28.8	31.9	27.4	28.7	24.6	32.7	30.5	27.6	33.9	18.4	31.9	26.9	33.5	24.4
Q59E:SERGE GILLES																			
DK/NR	18.4	18.2	16.0	18.8	16.7	20.0	16.1	18.7	19.7	22.9	14.2	21.2	20.3	17.1	13.6	24.9	20.1	14.0	20.3
Favorable	18.6	24.9	21.3	16.7	19.5	17.8	18.2	19.4	18.1	15.2	21.5	19.2	21.4	14.7	17.7	15.6	21.3	17.0	15.7
Unfavorable	30.5	24.3	34.3	31.7	30.4	30.5	31.0	31.7	28.9	34.0	27.6	29.0	29.7	30.2	35.8	25.4	29.2	33.0	30.9
No opinion	32.5	32.6	28.5	32.9	33.5	31.6	34.7	30.2	33.2	27.9	36.7	30.6	28.7	38.0	32.9	34.1	29.3	36.0	33.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
TOTAL	1204	362	362	480	578	626	307	428	469	495	625	84	648	443	113	93	518	393	200

C.I.D. OF LATIN AMERICA

TABLE:37

OP HAITI, MARCH 2002

	TOTAL		REGION		GENDER		AGE			EDUCATION			ECON SITUATION			LABOR PRESIDENT				
	PORT	OT/C	REST	MALE	FEMA	-24	25-39	40Y+	PRIM	SEC	UNIV	WORSE	SAME	BETT	NR	BAD	REG	GOOD		
Q60: WHETHER CANDIDATE VOTED FOR IN LEG ELECT GOT ELECTED																				
Doesn't know	7.3	6.6	5.5	7.7	6.7	7.9	6.1	7.3	8.1	8.1	7.0	4.6	7.2	6.6	10.7	15.0	8.4	5.7	4.8	
Yes	42.0	37.8	39.2	43.3	41.9	42.0	45.4	43.2	38.5	40.6	43.6	37.8	33.9	51.0	51.8	47.2	27.7	47.3	66.6	
No	20.1	25.4	20.2	18.8	19.9	20.3	19.4	18.1	22.6	14.2	14.6	32.2	22.7	18.0	14.3	14.2	26.2	17.2	12.4	
Did not vote last time	19.1	23.5	24.6	17.3	19.5	18.6	18.7	17.5	20.8	24.7	14.6	14.5	25.7	12.8	6.4	14.4	26.6	16.7	5.9	
Does not remember	7.2	4.4	8.6	7.7	8.8	5.6	7.0	8.5	6.0	7.5	6.8	8.0	5.8	8.4	9.7	3.7	7.0	4.1	4.9	
NR	4.4	2.2	1.9	5.2	3.2	5.5	3.6	5.4	3.9	4.9	4.0	2.8	4.6	3.2	7.2	5.6	4.2	3.9	5.4	
Q61: WOULD VOTE THE SAME IN LEG ELECT IF WERE HELD AGAIN																				
Doesn't know	5.9	5.2	6.6	6.0	4.5	7.2	5.0	8.0	4.5	4.4	7.5	4.2	4.2	7.2	10.4	11.6	5.1	4.8	8.1	
Yes	30.4	23.8	30.9	32.1	31.2	29.7	30.3	28.3	32.5	31.8	29.6	27.1	25.4	36.8	34.0	20.0	24.4	12.7	45.8	
No	23.7	31.2	21.0	22.1	24.2	23.3	28.2	23.0	21.5	17.3	28.2	34.9	24.8	23.3	19.6	27.5	22.4	25.1	22.9	
NR	2.0	3.0	.8	1.9	1.8	2.2	1.2	1.9	2.6	1.4	2.4	3.8	2.2	1.7	2.1	2.2	2.0	1.9	2.2	
NA	37.9	36.7	40.6	37.9	38.2	37.6	35.3	38.7	38.9	45.2	32.4	30.0	43.4	31.0	33.9	38.7	46.1	35.5	21.0	
Q62: REASON FOR NOT VOTING THE SAME WAY LEG ELEC																				
DK/NR	14.1	21.8	14.6	12.1	13.0	15.1	14.2	13.8	14.4	9.9	17.1	20.8	14.0	13.5	17.4	18.3	13.9	13.7	14.1	
Too much corruption	.9	.8	.3	1.0	1.6	.4	1.2	.9	.8	.0	1.2	6.6	.8	1.4	.0	.0	.7	1.4	.9	
Does not do anything	3.6	4.1	3.3	3.5	3.6	3.7	7.4	2.5	2.2	2.8	4.7	.4	4.2	3.2	2.1	.0	3.5	3.7	3.1	
Does not keep promises	2.2	1.9	1.7	2.3	2.8	1.6	2.6	2.3	1.8	1.8	2.6	1.0	2.6	2.2	.0	.0	2.1	2.3	2.8	
Elections were not free	.4	.3	.0	.4	.3	.4	.2	.4	.4	.5	.3	.0	.7	.0	.0	.0	.0	.6	.9	
There was no respect	.0	.0	.3	.0	.0	.0	.0	.1	.1	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	
A better plan	.1	.3	.0	.0	.1	.0	.0	.0	.1	.0	.1	.0	.1	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	
Do not trust him	.4	.6	.0	.4	.2	.6	.0	1.0	.1	.5	.4	.0	.4	.6	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	
Not interested	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.1	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	
Others	2.0	1.4	.6	2.3	2.6	1.5	2.4	2.1	1.6	1.9	1.7	6.1	2.1	2.4	.0	2.2	2.3	2.0	1.0	
NA	76.3	68.8	79.0	77.9	75.8	76.7	71.8	77.0	78.5	82.7	71.8	65.1	75.2	76.7	80.4	72.5	77.6	74.9	77.1	
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
TOTAL	1204	1204	1204	1204	1204	1204	1204	1204	1204	1204	1204	1204	1204	1204	1204	1204	1204	1204	1204	
BASE	1204	1204	1204	1204	1204	1204	1204	1204	1204	1204	1204	1204	1204	1204	1204	1204	1204	1204	1204	

C.I.D. OF LATIN AMERICA



	TOTAL		REGION		GENDER		AGE		EDUCATION			ECON SITUATION			LABOR PRESIDENT				
	PORT	OT/C	REST	MALE	FEMA	-24	25-39	40Y+	PRIM	SEC	UNIV	WORSE	SAME	BETT	NR	BAD	REG	GOOD	
Q63: WHETHER CANDIDATE VOTED FOR IN PRES ELECT GOT ELECTED																			
Doesn't know	5.8	7.5	5.0	5.4	6.7	5.0	7.0	4.9	5.8	5.2	6.0	8.9	6.1	5.8	3.6	10.4	6.2	4.5	5.2
Yes	44.7	40.1	41.4	46.3	43.6	45.7	43.3	44.2	46.1	45.8	44.1	40.8	35.1	55.2	57.6	40.6	31.0	52.8	66.0
No	15.7	17.1	14.4	15.4	16.2	15.2	14.8	17.6	14.4	9.9	20.1	20.7	16.1	14.7	16.9	8.6	20.0	14.4	9.6
Did not vote last time	24.2	27.6	32.0	22.5	23.0	25.4	25.7	21.3	26.1	29.3	20.4	18.9	33.8	15.2	5.9	23.7	34.2	18.4	9.9
Does not remember	3.3	4.4	4.1	2.9	3.3	3.3	3.9	3.7	2.5	4.3	2.4	3.8	3.9	2.6	3.1	2.2	3.7	3.3	2.6
NR	6.3	3.3	3.0	7.5	7.3	5.5	5.3	8.3	5.2	5.6	7.0	6.9	5.0	6.6	13.0	14.6	4.8	6.5	6.6
Q64: WOULD VOTE THE SAME IN PRES ELECT IF WERE HELD AGAIN																			
Doesn't know	8.9	9.4	12.4	8.3	7.8	9.8	9.0	10.3	7.4	4.6	12.5	9.4	7.7	11.2	6.8	8.4	10.6	9.1	4.1
Yes	31.6	27.3	24.3	33.5	29.4	33.7	28.1	31.5	34.1	34.3	30.0	25.7	20.9	42.4	49.7	18.8	20.5	35.1	59.2
No	15.2	14.6	16.0	15.2	17.4	13.1	18.1	14.9	13.5	12.3	17.0	21.6	18.4	11.2	12.2	15.2	14.8	18.2	9.9
NR	4.7	5.8	3.0	4.6	5.2	4.2	3.0	5.1	5.4	4.5	4.8	4.7	4.3	5.1	5.6	6.7	5.2	4.7	2.5
NA	39.7	42.9	44.2	38.3	40.2	39.1	41.8	38.2	39.6	44.3	35.7	38.5	48.8	30.1	25.6	50.9	49.0	32.8	24.3
Q65: REASON FOR NOT VOTING THE SAME WAY																			
DK/NR	4.3	3.9	4.4	4.4	5.8	3.0	4.1	4.7	4.0	4.7	3.4	9.7	5.7	2.8	2.3	5.6	4.3	5.7	.9
Too much corruption	1.1	1.7	2.8	.8	1.1	1.2	1.6	1.1	.9	.7	1.5	1.4	1.3	1.3	.0	.3	1.3	1.2	1.1
Does not do anything	3.8	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.7	3.9	6.7	3.2	2.5	2.7	5.0	1.0	5.6	1.5	2.6	2.6	3.7	3.8	4.4
Does not keep promises	2.6	1.7	1.9	2.9	3.8	1.5	2.5	3.1	2.2	2.1	2.5	7.6	2.4	3.5	.0	1.1	2.7	4.0	.0
Elections were not free	.6	.8	1.1	.4	.0	1.0	.5	.5	.6	.4	.7	.0	.5	.0	2.6	2.6	.6	.0	.6
There was no respect	.6	.0	.0	.8	.3	.9	.6	.4	.8	.3	.9	.0	.9	.4	.0	.0	.7	.9	.0
Does not have trustworthy people	.0	.0	.3	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.1	.1	.0	.0	.0	.1	.0	.0	.1	.0	.0
I do not dirty my hand voting	.2	.0	.3	.2	.0	.3	.0	.4	.1	.1	.3	.0	.0	.4	.0	2.2	.1	.0	.0
Would vote for other candidate	.5	.3	.0	.6	1.0	.1	.2	.4	.8	.0	1.0	.0	.6	.1	1.5	.0	.5	.5	.9
Do not trust him	.7	1.4	.3	.6	1.0	.5	.8	.7	.7	.4	.9	1.9	.7	.6	1.5	.8	.0	1.6	.9
Not interested	.2	.0	.3	.2	.0	.3	.1	.0	.4	.3	.0	.0	.3	.0	.2	.0	.4	.0	.1
Too much insecurity	.1	.6	.0	.0	.2	.0	.2	.1	.0	.0	.2	.0	.0	.0	.3	.0	.0	.2	.0
Others	.5	.6	.8	.4	.5	.5	.8	.2	.5	.4	.6	.0	.5	.1	1.5	.0	.3	.5	.9
NA	84.8	85.4	84.0	84.8	82.6	86.9	81.9	85.1	86.5	87.7	83.0	78.4	81.6	88.8	87.8	84.8	85.2	81.8	90.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
TOTAL	1204	362	362	480	578	626	307	428	469	495	625	84	648	443	113	93	518	393	200

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		AGE		EDUCATION			ECON SITUATION			LABOR PRE:IDENT					
		POR	OT/C	REST	MALE	FEMA	-24	25-39	40Y+	PRIM	SEC	UNIV	WORSE	SAME	BETT	NR	BAD	REG	GOOD
Q66: WHETHER DECEMBER 17																			
WAS A COUP																			
DK/NR	26.5	32.0	22.9	25.4	27.7	25.4	26.1	27.9	25.3	29.0	24.4	25.2	26.4	29.3	16.4	32.2	25.7	28.7	21.8
Yes	34.0	30.1	29.0	35.6	30.9	36.8	32.8	33.7	35.2	31.7	36.2	33.5	22.0	41.0	73.4	32.5	22.4	30.1	73.3
No	39.5	37.8	48.1	39.0	41.4	37.7	41.1	38.3	39.5	39.3	39.4	41.2	51.6	29.7	10.2	35.3	52.0	41.2	4.9
Q67: LIKELIHOOD OF																			
ARISTIDE FINISHING																			
HIS TERM																			
NR	15.2	15.5	11.0	15.6	16.1	14.5	14.7	14.7	16.1	18.1	13.3	9.8	17.0	13.6	11.9	19.3	18.2	11.9	12.4
Not all likely	19.5	19.6	20.2	19.4	22.0	17.2	22.3	19.7	17.3	17.6	21.2	19.0	26.7	13.2	3.8	6.9	29.2	18.3	1.5
Somewhat unlikely	20.8	23.5	23.2	19.8	19.8	21.6	17.2	24.2	19.8	20.2	21.6	17.3	22.5	19.3	16.9	25.6	21.2	24.1	11.0
Somewhat likely	14.8	16.3	20.7	13.8	15.0	14.6	18.0	13.2	14.2	12.4	15.3	29.3	13.2	18.7	9.1	12.1	12.9	19.5	11.4
Very likely	29.7	25.1	24.9	31.5	27.1	32.1	27.8	28.1	32.6	31.6	28.6	24.7	20.7	35.2	58.2	36.1	18.4	26.3	63.8
Q68: POLITICAL PARTY																			
SYMPATHIZES WITH																			
DK/NR	40.8	37.6	36.5	42.1	42.6	39.1	41.3	40.3	40.9	40.6	41.2	38.7	45.1	38.9	24.3	38.5	44.8	43.8	25.3
FL Fanni Lavaldas	36.5	32.9	39.5	37.1	33.2	39.4	35.6	36.8	36.7	37.8	36.5	24.7	25.0	45.1	66.6	42.2	23.3	36.3	69.1
Konvergans	7.7	7.7	9.9	7.5	9.4	6.3	10.1	7.3	6.6	5.3	8.5	20.2	10.2	5.2	3.6	.7	12.9	5.6	1.6
RDNP	7.3	3.9	.8	.6	.9	1.6	.3	1.5	1.6	1.3	1.1	1.9	1.6	.6	1.6	.0	.9	1.6	.6
MID	.6	.0	.3	.8	1.0	.3	.6	.9	.4	.3	.9	.0	.9	.5	.0	.0	.4	1.4	.0
Pampira	.5	1.1	1.1	.2	.4	.5	.7	.3	.4	.5	.3	1.0	.6	.2	.5	.0	.7	.2	.3
Konakom	1.2	2.2	1.7	.8	1.4	1.0	1.3	1.0	1.3	1.7	.5	2.8	1.3	1.3	.0	1.5	.9	2.0	.3
KID	1.8	2.5	1.9	1.7	2.0	1.7	1.3	2.9	1.2	1.6	2.0	2.4	2.4	1.6	.0	2.9	2.7	1.2	.3
OPL	4.9	6.1	5.2	4.6	4.4	5.3	5.4	4.8	4.8	6.1	4.1	3.2	5.6	4.7	2.3	7.5	5.8	5.0	1.4
Espas Consertasyon	1.9	3.3	.8	1.7	1.7	2.1	.3	1.8	3.1	2.2	1.8	1.0	2.7	1.1	.5	.8	3.7	.6	.3
MNR	.5	.0	.3	.6	.7	.3	.6	.4	.5	.0	.6	3.2	.9	.0	.0	.0	.8	.5	.0
MPSN	.2	.0	.6	.2	.4	.0	.0	.5	.1	.4	.0	.0	.4	.0	.0	.0	.1	.5	.0
Alternative Pou																			
Cahngeman	.1	.3	.3	.0	.0	.1	.1	.0	.1	.0	.1	.0	.0	.1	.0	.3	.1	.0	.0
Mocrena	1.0	1.1	.6	1.0	1.1	.9	.5	.7	1.7	1.2	1.0	.0	1.7	.1	.5	1.1	1.3	1.1	.1
PLB	.6	.8	.3	.6	.5	.7	1.2	.8	.1	.9	.4	.0	.8	.6	.0	.8	1.2	.2	.1
MDNP	.4	.6	.3	.4	.4	.4	.8	.0	.6	.0	.7	1.0	.8	.0	.0	.0	.5	.2	.9
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
TOTAL	1204	362	362	480	578	626	307	428	469	495	625	84	648	443	113	93	518	393	200